RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: എല്ലാ സ്ഥലങ്ങളിലും കൃഷി ഇറക്കിയിട്ടില്ലെന്നാണ് എന്റെ അറിവ്.

MR. DOMINIC JOSEPH: May I know how many acres are available with Government for such cultivation.

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATASWARA AIYAR: About 10,000 acres are ready.

MR. T. T. KESAVAN SASTRI: ഇതിൽ എത്ര ഏക്കർ സ്ഥലം നെൽകൃഷി ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ടെന്നും എത്ര ഏക്കർ സ്ഥലം, ഇതര കൃഷികൾ ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ടെന്നും പറയാൻ മേലുണ്ടാകുമോ?

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: ആ വിവരം ഇതുവരെ ആഫീസിൽ എത്തിയിട്ടില്ല.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI (*Tiruvella*): May I know why in the face of the assurance given by Government that about thirty thousand acres are available, only three thousand acres were assigned?

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: It is not correct to say only three thousand acres were given. This is the extent of the land sold in auction. Altogether six thousand acres were given.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: May I know why more land was not given?

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: There were no bidders.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: Is the member aware that bidders did not come on account of the prohibitive rents fixed by Government?

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: Government have not fixed any rent.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: May I know whether any action will be taken in order to prevent land lying un-cultivated?

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VEKATESWARA AIYAR: Yes, Sir.

MR. K. P. KOCHUKORA THARAKAN (*Kunnatnad cum Parur*): ലേലംചെയ്യാതെ കൊടുത്ത സ്ഥലങ്ങളും. എങ്ങനെയുള്ളവർക്കാണ്?

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: അധികപക്ഷവും കോവാപ്പറേറീവു സൊസൈറ്റികൾക്കാണ്.

MR. K. P. KOCHUKORA THARAKAN: കോവാപ്പറേറീവ് സൊസൈറ്റികൾ ശരിയായി പ്രവർത്തനം നടത്തുന്നുണ്ടോ എന്നറിയാമോ?

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: ചിലതൊക്കെ നടത്തുന്നുണ്ട്, ചിലതു നടത്തുന്നില്ല.

Registry of cardamom lands.

64 *MR. K. P. KOCHUKORA THARAKAN: Will the Government be pleased:

(*a*) to lay on the table a statement showing:

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(i) the number of applications in each of the years 1112, 1113, 1114, 1115, 1116 and 1117 for registry of cardamom lands in Pallivasal pakuthy;

(ii) the number of those applications which were not admitted owing to defects in the applications;

(iii) the number of those applications admitted but not finally disposed of in each of the said 6 years;

(iv) the number of applications granted under agreement—

1. on a recovery of Rs. 1½ assessment per acre per year for the first four years after the grant, and

2. on recovery of Rs. 3 per acre per year from the date of original occupation; and

(*b*) to state the reasons, if any, for fixing the different rates of assessment according to sub-parts (iv) 1 and 2 above?

MR. G. NARAYANAN TAMPI (*Secretary to Government*): The time and labour involved in collecting accurate statistics in regard to this matter will be out of proportion to the possible utility, especially as the general policy of Government has already been laid down.

Cardamom cultivation.

65 ***MR. K. P. KOCHUKORA THARAKAN**: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) the number of applicants who have occupied lands for cardamom cultivation in Pallivasal pakuthi till 1-11-1117 without obtaining Government permission;

(*b*) the total extent of land occupied by them;

(*c*) the amount approximately spent on those lands for their cultivation;

(*d*) the number of applicants in whose favour registry has been sanctioned till 1-11-1117;

(*e*) the number of those applicants whose applications have not been granted; and

(*f*) the total extent of the land covered by the applications not yet granted?

MR. G. NARAYANAN TAMPI: The member is referred to answer to question No. 64.

MR. K. S. SABASTIAN (*Changanacherry cum Peermade*): What is the policy that has been laid down?

PRESIDENT: I expected that such a question would be asked. I shall give the policy of Government that has already been laid down, and I hope it will be given due publicity.

After a careful consideration of the petition and the arguments adduced in support of it, Government are definitely of the view that squatters who entered upon sircar land without permission and even without preferring any application, have absolutely no right, legal or equitable. Those persons who entered with permission have, subject to the provisions indicated above, a right in equity which will be governed by, and be subordinated to, the public interests referred to in Rule 5 of the Cardamom Rules. Those persons who, as in the case of the petitioners, contend that the Cardamom Rules of 1935 did not

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly